

Bayport Securitisation (RF) Class BAYA89, A90, And A91 ABS Notes Assigned Ratings; Outstanding Ratings Affirmed

June 30, 2021

Overview

- We have assigned our long-term 'zaAA+ (sf)' ratings to Bayport Securitisation (RF)'s class A BAYA89, BAYA90, and BAYA91 notes.
- At the same time, we have affirmed our 'zaAA+ (sf)' ratings on all of the outstanding class A notes issued previously.
- Bayport Securitisation (RF) securitizes a portfolio of South African consumer loan receivables that Bayport Financial Services 2010 (Pty) originated.
- Our analysis indicates that the available credit enhancement for the outstanding rated class A notes is sufficient to mitigate the credit and cash flow risks at the 'zaAAA' rating level corresponding to the global 'BB' rating. However, our ratings on the class A notes are constrained at 'zaAA+ (sf)' (corresponding to the global 'BB-' rating) by the application of our sovereign risk criteria.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Amit Einhorn
London
+44 (0)20 7176 0513
amit.einhorn
@spglobal.com

LONDON (S&P Global Ratings) June 30, 2021--S&P Global Ratings today assigned its long-term 'zaAA+ (sf)' credit ratings to Bayport Securitisation (RF) Ltd.'s class A BAYA89, BAYA90, and BAYA91 notes. At the same time, we have affirmed our 'zaAA+ (sf)' ratings on the outstanding class A notes.

Today's rating actions reflect our credit and cash flow analysis using the most recent available collateral performance data (as of March 2020). We have considered the most recent transaction structure in our analysis, taking into account the new issuance and existing notes' amortization as of the most recent investor report (March 2021).

Bayport Securitisation (RF) securitizes a portfolio of South African consumer loan receivables that Bayport Financial Services 2010 (Pty) Ltd. (Bayport) originated in the ordinary course of its business. The transaction does not have a fixed revolving period; it revolves until the issuer fully repays the outstanding debt, or until an acceleration event occurs. During the revolving period the issuer can issue new notes or repay outstanding notes.

Environmental, social, and governance (ESG)

In our view, the transaction has material exposure to governance credit factors due to 'risk management and internal controls'. The transaction does not have a fixed revolving period, which also introduces higher exposure to governance factors (e.g., risk management and internal controls). We consider that revolving collateral pools may be subject to deterioration in underwriting or adverse selection. Under the transaction structure there are eligibility criteria and concentration limits for selecting the new receivables. In addition, the transaction documents set certain performance triggers to terminate the revolving period if the portfolio quality deteriorates. We tested cash flows assuming the worst portfolio composition determined according to these documented limits, which in our view mitigate this risk.

The transaction has relatively higher exposure to social credit factors due to this being a pool of obligors, which, given the high interest rates and affordability considerations for these borrowers, could increase legal and regulatory risks if the validity of the contracts or the servicer's collection practices are challenged. We believe this risk is mitigated by representation made by the issuer that each loan when originated complied with all laws. In addition, the issuer has a compliance department which manages its adherence to all applicable laws. The South African National Credit Act (NCA) determine that the interest rates over the assets are determined under a statutory formula at a maximum of the Repo Rate plus 21%. We believe setoff risk upon the seller's insolvency is limited since the issuer charges an interest rate, which is in line with the market practice. Finally, we considered the migration of the pool under the maximum interest rate set by the national regulation.

Rating Rationale

Economic outlook

In our credit analysis, we have considered our latest forecasts for South Africa's economy (see "Related Research").

Macroeconomic Outlook

	2020	2021f	2022f	2023f	2024f
Real GDP (y/y growth; %)	(7.1)	3.6	2.5	1.3	1.4
Unemployment rate (annual average; %)	29.2	31.1	30.2	29.9	29.5
CPI (%)	3.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4

f--Forecast. y/y--Year on year.

Credit risk

We have analyzed credit risk by applying our securitized consumer receivables criteria to derive our default and recovery assumptions for various rating levels. We considered the most recent performance data available from July 2008 to March 2021. Our analysis showed that extrapolated default rates improved in consumer loan cohorts originated between 2016 and 2019. The trend in lower default rates can be explained by Bayport's more stringent origination policy standards

introduced in mid-2015 and further adjustments to its scorecards in April 2016. Since June 2016, cumulative gross losses have remained about 30% compared with our 40% gross loss base case. Following the COVID-19 outbreak, and the new set of performance data, we have seen a deterioration in the asset performance, which is still below our set base case. This performance follows our expectation as part of our forward-looking view.

In our view, the headroom between our base-case assumption and the observed actual gross losses accounts for performance deterioration related to the COVID-19 outbreak and the expected increase in unemployment rate. Therefore, in our analysis, we have not applied any additional stresses related to the COVID-19 outbreak. Bayport Financial Services confirmed that it does not provide payment holidays to its obligors, which mitigates the potential accumulation of future defaults in the pool. In addition, the consumer loans securitized in the portfolio are unsecured receivables. Therefore, we believe that the recovery process has not been materially affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Our credit analysis assumptions remain unchanged since our previous review of the transaction (see "Related Research").

Base Case And Stressed Default And Recovery Assumptions

	Default rate	Recovery rate
Base case (%)	40.00	5.00
Stressed assumptions (%)		
zaAAA	44.80	4.35
zaAA+	44.20	4.38

The 'zaAAA' national rating level corresponds to our global scale ratings of 'BB' and higher.

Operational risk

We consider the risk related to the servicer to be mitigated at the rating level assigned to the notes in line with our operational risk criteria. In our view, severity risk (the effect of servicer disruption) is moderate, and portability risk (the inability to replace the servicer) is high. Considering the securitized product, the key transaction party in this transaction, and the availability of the warm back-up servicer--Transaction Capital Recoveries Proprietary Ltd. (formerly known as MBD Credit Solutions [PTY] Ltd.)--since closing, the operational risk constrains the maximum potential ratings achievable for the notes at a global scale 'A+' level (corresponding to the national level of 'zaAAA').

Cash flow analysis

Our cash flow model reflects our assessment of the transaction's payment structure and our credit and cash flow assumptions, including the issuance of the additional class A notes on June 30, 2021, and the change of the existing legal final maturity date of the class A BAYA69U and BAYA70U notes. We also considered the tap issuance of the class BAYA72 notes. We applied our cash flow analysis framework to the cash flow stresses at each rating level. The class A BAYA89, BAYA90, and BAYA91 notes are floating-rate amortizing notes with legal final maturity dates in June 2024, June 2024, and June 2023, respectively. Our cash flow analysis considered the transaction's structural features, including the credit enhancement that the available cash and performing balance provide.

In line with our cash flow analysis framework criteria, our default assumption incorporates elements of both credit and liquidity stresses. The high level of default stress that we apply in this transaction already results in high liquidity stress on account of cash flow available during the recession period to make interest payments.

We believe that the higher base case set for this transaction compared with the underlying assets' observed performance, captures the COVID-19 stresses, and so no further stresses are applied.

Our analysis indicates that the available credit enhancement for the outstanding rated class A notes is sufficient to mitigate the credit and cash flow risks at the 'zaAAA' rating level corresponding to the global 'BB' rating. However, our ratings on the class A notes are constrained at 'zaAA+ (sf)' (corresponding to the global 'BB-' rating) by the application of our sovereign risk criteria.

In our view, the class A bullet notes exhibit high sensitivity to liquidity stresses. Currently, the notes pass our 'zaAAA' stress scenario, but are constrained by the sovereign default stress. These notes passing without any principal shortfalls on the bullet maturity date in all 'zaAAA' stress scenarios.

With the current level of cash available in the issuer accounts (ZAR521.5 million at the end of February 2021 compared with 437.4 million at the end of February 2021) and higher cost of debt (three-month Johannesburg Interbank Average Rate [JIBAR] increased to 3.68% in June 2021 from 3.66% in March 2021), these notes do not pass our sovereign default stress rating scenario, assuming that the transaction were to immediately amortize.

In our view, if the transaction continues to revolve, there is a higher risk that these notes may not fully repaid on their bullet maturity date. Given the structural mechanisms in place, which include a principal accumulation reserve for notes with bullet maturities, we believe this risk is commensurate with the current ratings assigned. As part of our ongoing surveillance process, we will continue monitoring the level of cash available at the issuer level and other mitigating factors to ensure the likelihood of full repayment of the bullet notes remains commensurate with the assigned ratings.

Counterparty risk

We have analyzed the transaction's exposure to counterparty risk in accordance with our current counterparty criteria.

The transaction is exposed to the credit risk of Standard Bank of South Africa Ltd. acting as the transaction bank account provider. We consider that the transaction documents adequately mitigate this risk at the 'zaAA+' rating level in line with our counterparty criteria.

The transaction is also exposed to the servicer in relation to commingling risk. The servicer is an unrated entity. However, due to the strong mitigants in place, we undertook a sensitivity run to test commingling risk as a liquidity stress as we did not stress commingling in the driving run.

Legal risk

We consider the issuer to be bankruptcy remote, in line with our legal criteria. At closing, we have received legal information that provides assurance that the sale of the assets would survive the seller's insolvency.

Rating stability

Under our scenario analysis, we ran two stress scenarios and assessed the transaction's performance. The results of our scenario analysis are commensurate with our credit stability criteria. In addition, our ratings on the class A notes address frequent changes in the capital structure, thereby maintaining rating stability.

Sovereign risk

Under our structured finance sovereign risk criteria, we treat the type of collateral being securitized (South African unsecured consumer loans) as having moderate sensitivity to South African sovereign risk.

In addition, to account for the severe economic stress we expect to accompany a sovereign default, when rating above sovereign, in line with our sovereign risk criteria, we also assessed whether the notes can withstand a sovereign default stress.

We used our standard 'A' run (on a global scale rating) to replicate the impact of the sovereign default scenario. The transaction does not pass our sovereign default stress. Therefore, the ratings on the notes are constrained to the sovereign rating level.

Our ultimate rating assigned in the transaction is derived from the application of our criteria. It is the lower of (i) the rating as capped by our sovereign risk criteria, (ii) the rating as capped by our counterparty criteria, or (iii) the rating that the class of notes can attain under our global consumer ABS criteria.

When we apply our stresses under our global consumer ABS criteria, the class A notes are able to withstand up to a 'zaAAA' rating scenario. The class A notes are not constrained by the counterparty criteria at the 'zaAAA' rating level. Our ratings on the class A notes do not withstand the sovereign default stress and are therefore constrained at 'zaAA+' by the application of our sovereign risk criteria. As such, we have assigned our long-term 'zaAA+ (sf)' rating to the new class A notes, and we have affirmed our 'zaAA+ (sf)' ratings on the existing class A notes. Our forward-looking view is affected by the reduced excess spread of the capital structure and lower level of cash available to the issuer compared with previous issuances.

Ratings List

Class (new notes)	Rating	Amount (mil. ZAR)	Interest	Legal final maturity
Class A (BAYA 89)	zaAA+ (sf)	65.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.50%	June 30, 2024
Class A (BAYA 90)	zaAA+ (sf)	140.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.75%	June 30, 2024
Class A (BAYA 91)	zaAA+ (sf)	6.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.25%	June 30, 2023
Ratings affirmed (existing notes)				
Class A (BAYA57)	zaAA+ (sf)	50.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 5.50%	March 31, 2022
Class A (BAYA64)	zaAA+ (sf)	40.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.50%	Sept. 30, 2022
Class A (BAYA67)	zaAA+ (sf)	100.00	11.17%	March 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA71)	zaAA+ (sf)	66.50	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.25%	June 30, 2021
Class A (BAYA72)	zaAA+ (sf)	444.70 (Tap of 60.70)	12.18%	March 31, 2026

Ratings List (cont.)

Class A (BAYA73)	zaAA+ (sf)	117.91	Three-month JIBAR plus 3.94%	March 31, 2022
Class A (BAYA74)	zaAA+ (sf)	88.61	Three-month JIBAR plus 3.80%	June 30, 2024
Class A (BAYA75)	zaAA+ (sf)	45.60	Three-month JIBAR plus 3.65%	June 30, 2022
Class A (BAYA76)	zaAA+ (sf)	5.91	Three-month JIBAR plus 3.65%	June 30, 2022
Class A (BAYA77)	zaAA+ (sf)	95.18	Three-month JIBAR plus 3.75%	Sept. 30, 2022
Class A (BAYA78)	zaAA+ (sf)	35.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.75%	March 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA79)	zaAA+ (sf)	104.14	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.75%	March 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA80)	zaAA+ (sf)	77.36	Three-month JIBAR plus 5.00%	June 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA81)	zaAA+ (sf)	91.50	Three-month JIBAR plus 5.00%	June 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA82)	zaAA+ (sf)	59.47	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.75%	Sept. 30, 2023
Class A (BAYA83)	zaAA+ (sf)	100.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 5.00%	Sept. 30, 2023
Class A (BAYA84)	zaAA+ (sf)	62.50	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.50%	Dec. 31, 2022
Class A (BAYA85)	zaAA+ (sf)	62.50	Three-month JIBAR plus 5.00%	Dec. 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA86)	zaAA+ (sf)	65.70	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.50%	March 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA87)	zaAA+ (sf)	172.56	Three-month JIBAR plus 5.00%	March 31, 2024
Class A (BAYA88)	zaAA+ (sf)	175.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.75%	March 31, 2024
Class A (BAYA69U)	zaAA+ (sf)	80.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 4.50%	March 31, 2023
Class A (BAYA70U)	zaAA+ (sf)	100.00	Three-month JIBAR plus 5.00%	March 31, 2024

Note: Our ratings address timely payment of interest and payment of principal no later than the legal final maturity date. The class A balance reflects the most recent interest payment date. JIBAR--Johannesburg Interbank Average Rate. NR--Not rated.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Payment Structure And Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Dec. 22, 2020
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- General Criteria: Methodology For National And Regional Scale Credit Ratings, June 25, 2018
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria | Structured Finance | ABS: Global Methodology And Assumptions For Assessing The Credit Quality Of Securitized Consumer Receivables, Oct. 9, 2014
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28,

2009

Related Research

- South Africa Ratings Affirmed At 'BB-/B' Foreign Currency And 'BB/B' Local Currency; Outlook Stable, May 21, 2021
- Bayport Securitisation (RF) Class A BAYA86, A87, A88, A69U, A70U ABS Notes Assigned Ratings; Outstanding Ratings Lowered, March 31, 2021
- Bayport Securitisation (RF) Class A BAYA84 and BAYA85 ABS Notes Assigned Ratings; Outstanding Ratings Raised, Nov. 30, 2020
- Credit Conditions Emerging Markets: Longer Lockdowns, Heightened Risks, April 23, 2020
- Bayport Securitisation (RF) Class A (BAYA 78) South African ABS Notes Assigned Ratings; Outstanding Ratings Affirmed, March 31, 2020
- South Africa, May 22, 2020
- Emerging Markets Confront Rising Risks, Report Says, March 27, 2020
- COVID-19 Macroeconomic Update: The Global Recession Is Here And Now, March 17, 2020
- COVID-19 Credit Update: The Sudden Economic Stop Will Bring Intense Credit Pressure, March 17, 2020
- Bayport Securitisation (RF) Class A (BAYA 77) South African ABS Notes Assigned Ratings; Outstanding Ratings Affirmed, Sept. 30, 2019
- S&P Global Ratings' National And Regional Scale Mapping Specifications, June 25, 2018
- 2017 EMEA ABS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.